

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

JULIA HUBBARD, and KAYLA
GOEDINGHAUS,

Plaintiffs,

V.

CIVIL ACTION NO. SA-23-CA-580-FB

TRAMMELL S. CROW, JR.; BENJAMIN
TODD ELLER; RICHARD HUBBARD;
MELISSA MILLER; JOSEPH BOLIN;
SCOTT WOODS; MRUGESHKUMAR
SHAH; MICHAEL CAIN; COE
JURACEK; PHILIP ECOB; H.J. COLE;
CODY MITCHELL; KURT KNEWITZ;
PAUL PENDERGRASS; RALPH
ROGERS; ROBERT PRUITT; SCOTT
BRUNSON; CASE GROVER; RICHARD
BUTLER; MARK MOLINA; MICHAEL
HYNES, JR.; SHAWN MAYER; JADE
MAYER; RCI HOSPITALITY
HOLDINGS, INC.; INTEGRITY BASED
MARKETING, LLC; STORM FITNESS
NUTRITION, LLC; ULTRA COMBAT
NUTRITION, LLC; ECOLOFT HOMES
LLC; ELEVATED WELLNESS
PARTNERS LLC; DOE INDIVIDUALS
1-20; and DOE COMPANIES 21-30,

Defendants.

**ORDER DENYING WITHOUT PREJUDICE DEFENDANT TRAMMELL S. CROW'S
UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR LEAVE TO EXCEED PAGE LIMITS**

Before the Court is the Unopposed Motion for Leave to Exceed Page Limits (docket no. 138), filed by Defendant Trammell S. Crow, Jr. on June 1, 2023. Defendant Crow seeks leave to file a motion to dismiss up to 27 pages in length. After careful consideration, the Court is of the opinion that the motion should be denied without prejudice to reurging with an executed copy of the proposed motion to dismiss attached as an exhibit to the motion for leave.


The local rules for the Western District of Texas provide that leave of court must be obtained prior to filing a proposed motion to dismiss which exceeds 20 pages. W.D. TEX. LOC. R. CV-7(c)(2)

(“Unless otherwise authorized by the court, discovery and case management motions are limited to 10 pages, and other motions are limited to 20 pages.”). The local rules also provide that an executed copy of the proposed motion to dismiss must be attached to the motion for leave. *Id.* at CV-7(b) (“When a motion for leave to file a pleading, motion, or other submission is required, an executed copy of the proposed pleading, motion, or other submission shall be filed as an exhibit to the motion for leave.”). Defendant Crow’s submission does not include a copy of the proposed motion to dismiss.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Unopposed Motion for Leave to Exceed Page Limits (docket no. 138) filed by Defendant Trammell S. Crow, Jr. is DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE to reurging with an executed copy of the proposed motion to dismiss attached as an exhibit to the motion for leave.

It is so ORDERED.

SIGNED this 2nd day of June, 2023.



FRED BIERY
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE